EPJ Web of Conferences will be set by the publisher
DOI: will be set by the publisher
© Owned by the authors, published by EDP Sciences, 2017

arXiv:1612.05850v2 [hep-ph] 16 Jan 2017

Roundtable: What can we learn about confinement and anomalous effects in QCD using analog systems?

M. Cristina Diamantini[1,a], Dmitri Kharzeev[2,3,4,b], Alexander Molochkov[5c], Thomas Schäfer[6,d], and Tin Sulejmanpašić[7,e]

[1] *NiPS Laboratory, INFN and Dipartimento di Fisica, University of Perugia, via A. Pascoli, I-06100 Perugia, Italy.*
[2] *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, NY 11794-3800*
[3] *Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000*
[4] *RIKEN-BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000*
[5] *Laboratory of Physics of Living Matter, Far Eastern Federal University, Sukhanova 8, Vladivostok, 690950, Russia*
[6] *Department of Physics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695*
[7] *Philippe Meyer Institute, Physics Department, École Normale Supérieure, PSL Research University, 24 rue Lhomond, F-75231 Paris Cedex 05, France*

Abstract. We discuss a number of examples for recent connections between emergent phenomena in many-body systems in atomic and condensed matter physics, and confinement and other non-perturbative effects in quantum chromodynamics.

1 Introduction

In recent years, experimentalists in atomic and condensed matter physics have achieved extraordinary control over "designer" many-body systems. This includes cold atomic Bose and Fermi gases with tuneable interactions [1], atoms in optical lattices with controlled geometry [2], and the design of artificial gauge fields [3]. In condensed matter physics we have witnessed the emergence of new classes of materials with designer Fermi surfaces, including Weyl and Dirac cones, and topologically protected surface states [4].

This series of conference series is devoted to understanding strongly correlated quantum field theories, in particular QCD, and to unraveling the mechanism underlying emergent phenomena such as confinement and chiral symmetry breaking. The question is whether the progress in atomic and condensed matter physics can contribute to these goals, and whether some of things we have learned

[a] e-mail: cristina.diamantini@pg.infn.it
[b] e-mail: dmitri.kharzeev@stonybrook.edu
[c] e-mail: molochkov.av@dvfu.ru
[d] e-mail: tmschaef@ncsu.edu
[e] e-mail: tin2019@gmail.com



Figure 1. Expansion of a dilute Fermi gas at unitarity [9]. The cloud contains $N \simeq 1.5 \cdot 10^5$ ^{6}Li atoms at a temperature $T \simeq 8\mu$K. The figure shows a series of false color absorption images taken between $t = (0.1 - 2.0)$ ms. The scale of the images is the same. The axial size of the cloud remains nearly constant as the transverse size is increasing.

in QCD can have an impact in other areas of physics. We can obviously not give a definitive answer or comprehensive summary of these issues here. Instead we will discuss some examples in the following sections. We believe that in general, there are several ways in which atomic and condensed matter systems can have an impact:

- Universality is the observation that many phenomena are independent of the detailed microscopic dynamics. Historically, universality has played a role in the study of continuous phase transitions, and in effective field theory arguments. More recently, new ideas have emerged concerning universal aspects of anomalous transport, and of universal transport in the strongly coupled regime, see Sect. 2 and 5.
- Duality expresses a weak-strong coupling or high-low temperature equivalence between two different theories, typically formulated in terms of different degrees of freedom. While we may not be able to construct a dual of (large N) QCD, dualities relevant to certain regimes of QCD can be studied in analog systems, see Sect. 3 and 4.
- Topology and semiclassical objects like instantons, monopoles, strings, and domain walls have long been discussed in QCD, but they are not directly observable in the strong interaction. Analog systems provide an opportunity to study topological objects in controlled settings, see Sect. 4 and Sect. 5,6.
- Quantum simulators are the ultimate goal of many experiments with trapped atoms or ions. The idea is to simulate the real time evolution of an arbitrary Hamiltonian, including the evolution of non-abelian gauge fields [5]. At present a number of schemes for implementing abelian or non-abelian gauge fields have been tested in small systems, but the experiments typically do not realize dynamical gauge fields, and mostly explorer systems in reduced numbers of dimensions.

2 Thomas Schäfer: Transport Properties of Strongly Correlated Fluids

Experiments at RHIC and the LHC have shown that quark gluon plasma behaves as a nearly perfect fluid. This means that the shear viscosity to entropy density ratio η/s of the plasma is close to the limits thought to be set by quantum mechanics [6]. Holographic dualities have provided a theoretical



Figure 2. Left panel: Fourier coefficients $v_2, \ldots, v_5$ of the azimuthal charged particle distribution as a function of the transverse momentum p_T measured in $Pb + Pb$ collisions at the LHC [10] The lines show a hydrodynamic analysis performed using $\eta/s = 0.2$ [11]. Right panel: Hydrodynamic fit to the expansion data of Cao et al. [12], from [13]. The data correspond to three different temperatures that are significantly above the critical temperature, and the fit was used to verify the validity of kinetic theory in the high temperature regime.

example of a nearly perfect fluid [7], but we do not know whether the physical mechanism for perfect fluidity in AdS/CFT is relevant to QCD. This motivates us to look for other strongly coupled fluids in nature, and to study whether a universal mechanism is at work [8].

A very clean system that has been studied in some detail is an ultracold atomic Fermi gas at unitarity. The system contains two spin states of a neutral fermionic atom. The temperature and density are so low that the interaction between atoms is fully characterized by the s-wave scattering amplitude. This scattering amplitude can be tuned using a Feshbach resonance. The most interesting case is the limit in which the s-wave scattering length is infinite, and the scattering amplitude is given by the universal unitarity limit, $\mathcal{A} = 1/(ik)$, where k is the scattering momentum. In this limit the system is very strongly coupled, scale invariant, and universal (independent of the atomic species).

Low viscosity fluid dynamics was first observed by O'Hara et al. [9], see Fig. 1. The fluid is prepared in a deformed trap and then released. Pressure gradients in the fluid lead to acceleration, and because of the trap geometry the acceleration is largest in the short direction. This phenomenon is known as elliptic flow in the heavy ion community. In the case of heavy ions elliptic deformation arises from the shape of the overlap region in a non-central collision. A remarkable aspect of Fig. 1 is that we can directly observe the hydrodynamic evolution of the cloud. In the heavy ion case, the evolution has to be inferred from correlations among particles in the final state.

Viscosity counteracts the differential expansion of the cloud, and detailed measurements of the final geometry provide constraints on η/s. In the heavy ion case the initial geometry is not precisely known, and fluctuates from collision to collision. The best constraints arise from combining several harmonics of the flow distribution, see the left panel of Fig. 2. In the case of cold gases we can directly analyze the time evolution of the cloud shape, see the right panel of Fig. 2. It is interesting to note that there is some "technology transfer" between heavy ions and cold atomic gases. The hydrodynamic fit in the right panel was performed using a method called anisotropic fluid dynamics [14], that was originally developed in the heavy ion context [15, 16]. It is also interesting that in the cold gas context

it is possible to verify the validity of perturbation theory and kinetic theory in the high temperature limit [13]. This is not possible in the case of heavy ions, both because the temperatures are not high enough, even at the LHC, and because at weak coupling hydrodynamics is no longer useful.

The heavy ion data correspond to $\eta/s \simeq 0.2$. The current best estimate for cold atomic gases near T_c is $\eta/s \gtrsim 0.4$ [17]. These two numbers are remarkably close, given that the absolute magnitude of η for the two systems differs by than 25 orders of magnitude [18]. The question is whether this implies that there is a common mechanism at work. The mechanism for nearly perfect fluidity in the quark gluon plasma has remained somewhat elusive. Holographic methods account remarkably well for many properties of the plasma, like the short equilibration time. However, it is difficult to exclude that strongly interacting quasi-particles are present. In the cold atomic systems there are a number of strategies for exploring the nature of perfect fluidity. These include measurement of the dynamic structure factor [19], numerical calculations of the viscosity spectral function [20], and studies of non-hydrodynamic modes [21]. We expect these investigations to further enhance the interaction between the QCD, cold atomic, and string theory communities.

3 M. Cristina Diamantini: Emergent versus reductionist approach

The second law of thermodynamics and irreversibility are the paradigm of emergent phenomena that cannot be understood in terms of to elementary components but as collective phenomena. In condensed matter, the idea of emergence in the interpretation of natural phenomena, as opposed to the reductionist approach, has led to many new important results.

The discovery of the fractional quantum Hall (FQHE) [22] effect has revealed the existence of a new state of matter characterized by a new type of quantum order, topological order [23], describing zero-temperature properties of a ground state with a gap for all excitations. Its hallmark are the degeneracy of the ground state on manifolds with non-trivial topology. Although elementary constituents are electrons, the excitations over the incompressible ground state are anyons, quasi-particles that exhibits fractional spin, statistics and charge. If we think of the Laughlin state with filling fraction $\nu = 1/3$, the idea is to "decompose" the electron with charge e into 3 fractionally charged, fermionic partons, each of them forming a $\nu = 1$ integer quantum Hall effect. The strong interactions then "recombine" the partons to form a new emergent topological state of matter.

A characteristic feature of topological states is the existence of massless edge excitations that ensure the stability of the topological phase: the bulk is gapped so the response to external perturbations manifests itself through the edge dynamics. The edge excitations of chiral states, such as quantum Hall states, are stable, protected by the chiral anomaly: the non conservation of the charge at the boundary is compensated by the bulk current, a phenomenon know as anomaly inflow, and leads to the quantization of the Hall conductance. The situation is different for non-chiral topological states.

Topological insulators are non chiral topological states, i.e. topological states that preserve parity and time reversal (TR) symmetry and exist in two and three dimensions. For these materials, the edge excitations can become gapful, leading to topologically trivial phases. Symmetries, however, can in some cases forbid the edge excitations from becoming gapped. Topological insulators , e.g., are protected by TR symmetry and are an example of symmetry-protected topological phases of matter [24]. In the case of 3-dimensional topological insulators this leads to the presence of a topological

θ-term in the bulk action:

$$S = \int d^4x \frac{i\theta}{16\pi^2} F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \int d^4x \frac{i\theta}{4\pi^2} \mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{B}\ , \tag{1}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the Maxwell field strength, $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ its dual, and $\theta = 0$ for trivial topological insulators and $\theta = \pi$ for strong topological insulators.

An important characteristic of topological states of matter is that they have a confinement phase [25] described by an action that is the same as the confining string action proposed by Polyakov and Quevedo and Trugenberger [26] to describe confinement in compact QED, and used as a toy model to understand confinement in QCD. In fact topological matter is characterized by the presence of a topological BF term in its long-distance effective action [25]. In three dimensions the $U(1)$ symmetry associated with the BF action is compact. Topological defects due to the compactness of the $U(1)$ gauge fields induce quantum phase transitions between topological insulators, topological superconductors (TS) and topological confinement (TC). In conventional superconductivity, the photon acquires a mass due to the Anderson-Higgs mechanism. In TS, instead, magnetic flux is confined and the photon acquires a topological mass through the BF mechanism [25]: no symmetry breaking is involved, the ground state has topological order and the transition is induced by quantum fluctuations. There is no Higgs fields, the degree of freedom that is "eaten" by the photon is a scalar mode due to the condensation of electric solitons.

The TC phase is dual to topological superconductivity: in this case it is the new degree of freedom arising from the condensation of magnetic vortex strings that "eats" the original photon via the Stueckelberg mechanism. This mechanism turns the photon into a massive antisymmetric tensor that couples to electric strings between charge-anticharge pairs, thus realizing linear charge confinement. The equation that a describes this phase is the confining string action:

$$S^{TC}_{\text{eff}} = + \int d^4x \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{12\Lambda^2} H_{\mu\nu\alpha}H_{\mu\nu\alpha} + B_{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}\ , \tag{2}$$

where $B_{\mu\nu}$ is the Kalb -Ramond field, $H_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ its field strength. $T_{\mu\nu}$ parametrises the world-sheet of the string.

Can we use topological phases of matter as toy models to understand QCD? In [27], Laughlin argues that confinement can be interpreted as a collective phenomenon, relating the interpretation of confinement with the work of Senthil [28] on deconfined quantum criticality, according to which fractional quantum numbers emerge at phase transitions and become confined away from criticality due to strong interactions, as it happens for topological states of matter.

The idea that QCD can be interpreted as topologically ordered phase as been investigated in [29] with an analysis based on "deformed" QCD. In [30] the analogy between the structure QCD vacuum and topological insulators is investigated, with emphasis on the role of the θ-term in both cases.

4 Tin Sulejmanpašić: Liberation on domain walls in gauge theories and quantum magnets

Confinement, although well known in QCD, is not exclusive to it. Similar behavior occurs in quantum anti-ferromagnets in the so-called Valence Bond Solid (VBS) phase. This state is most easily



Figure 3. A sketch of the four ground state of the VBS in 2D on a cubic lattice. The green and blue rods indicate spin singlet states, the dashed lines indicate domain walls separating the different vacua, while at the nexus of the picture an unpaired spin results from the intersection of the four domain walls. The inlay shows a sketch of the composite nature of the confining strings.

described in the basis where spin states on lattice sites are paired into singlets, i.e. dimers. The ground state of the VBS can be visualized as crystal ordering of these dimers, spontaneously breaking lattice symmetries. In the case of two spatial dimensions (2D) on the cubic lattice, the Z_4 lattice rotational symmetry is completely spontaneously broken by the long range order of dimers, ensuing four degenerate vacua (see Fig. 3). Such vacua must be separated by domain-walls (or lines in the case of 2D lattice). It is obvious from Fig. 3 that if these vacua are put side by side, at their nexus there must be an unpaired spin – a spinon [31]. In other words, a spinon excitation *sources four domain walls*. Confinement of spinons is manifest in the fact that these domain-walls carry energy proportional to their length. A single spinon excitation would therefore carry an infinite energy in the thermodynamical limit and would not appear in the physical spectrum. In other words a pair of spinons would be bound by four domain-wall strings[1]. Weakening the VBS order eventually causes a transition to the Neél ordered state (anti-ferromagnetic order). This transition has been of interest in the study of so-called deconfined-quantum criticality [32, 33].

So what does this, if anything, have to do with gauge theories? Naively very little. In VBS confinement is a topological phenomena: an unpaired spinon misaligns the singlet dimers and forces interfaces between the degenerate vacua. Such interfaces cost a fixed energy per unit length, and must be terminated by another spinon in order to avoid paying infinite energy. In QCD there are not even degenerate vacua, so naively this picture of confinement has little to do with QCD or Yang-Mills theory.

However it was known for a long time that while Yang-Mills theories do not have multiple *degenerate* vacua, large N arguments indicate that it does have non-degenerate k-vacua, which were first conjectured by Witten [34]. Witten argued that, on the one hand, the 't Hooft large-N limit forces the vacuum energy to be a function of θ/N. On the other hand the partition function must be a periodic function of the θ-angle. The resolution is that in the large N limit the vacuum energy is given by

$$E(\theta) = N^2 \min_k f((\theta - 2\pi k)/N) \ , \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \ , \tag{3}$$

in other words the energy as a function of the θ-angle is a multi-branch function, where branches are labeled by the integer k. For $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ the value of k is taken to be zero. When $\theta = \pi$ the

[1] Keep in mind that the string will inevitably break at some point because the spinons are inherently dynamical.



Figure 4. Left: A comparison of the quark/spinon confinement and wall-liberation in compactified QCD-like theories a), b) and of spinons in the VBS phase c), d). Right: 3D VBS string with Z_2 vacua. The red region indicates a domain-wall, i.e. the string is really a tubular domain wall.

vacua $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ are degenerate and are related by the CP symmetry which is (believed to be) spontaneously broken in the confined phase. Further if massless matter fields in an adjoint gauge-group representation are added to the pure theory, the theory is believed to spontaneously break the discrete Z_{2N} chiral symmetry[2] down to Z_2, ensuing N-discrete vacua. Could confinement in such theories have any connection with the confinement in the VBS of quantum anti-ferromagnets?

In fact in 2007 a novel way to study the QCD-like theories was proposed by M. Ünsal et. al. [35–37]. Motivated in part by the Eguchi-Kawai ideas, Ünsal proposed that one space-time direction of the theory can be compactified on a small circle in such a way that no deconfinement phase transition occurs. A short distance scale of the compact circle controls the coupling of the theory, and as long as the compact circle is small enough, the theory is weakly coupled. Because of this, semi-classical methods can be used to understand the full structure of the confining theory.

Indeed using such reasoning Anber, Poppitz and TS [38] noticed that the confining mechanism in theories where there are multiple degenerate vacua in such semi-classical regimes does not conform to the standard picture of confinement one usually has in mind. Instead of a solid confining string, the confining strings are composed out of two domain-walls of the theory (see sketch in Fig. 4a), a picture strikingly similar to the one in VBS of quantum anti-ferromagnets discussed above[3]. The only difference is that the number of domain walls emanating from the spinon is four, not two.

In fact the similarity is no accident, and is a consequence of the fact that the underlying confining mechanisms in both gauge theories and in quantum anti-ferromagnets are strikingly similar: they result from the existence and influence of monopole-like instantons as explained in [40]. So the naively vastly different confining regimes are more similar than one would naively think.

But this is not all. In gauge theories such a picture explained an old conjecture made in the context of super Yang-Mills theory by Soo Jong Rey [41]. S.J. Rey argued from the microscopic picture of the Seiberg-Witten theory that the domain walls host deconfined fundamental quarks. In other words on the domain walls the hadrons fractionalize into their constituents. How this happens in the setup of

[2] See for example the discussion in [35]

[3] Shortly after [38], similar string structure was noticed in the dimer model on a square lattice [39].

[38] is simple to see from Fig. 4 a) and b). By taking one of the quarks to infinity in Fig. 4a, a string made of domain walls can be deformed to Fig. 4b. A domain wall, literally being the makings of the string, completely absorbs all the flux emanating from the quark which is now free to move along the domain wall.

Can this phenomena be present in VBS? A bit of thought will quickly convince the reader that a domain wall of the VBS depicted in Fig. 3 will not host deconfined spinons, as the domain wall is only able to absorb half of the "confining string". However should lattice symmetry be reduced to Z_2, a vastly different picture on the domain wall emerges. Spinons, now confined by two domain walls (see Fig. 4c) are liberated on walls and appear as physical particles there, as obvious from Fig. 4. An ideal model in which to test this numerically is the so-called J-Q model. The J-Q model on a cubic lattice is well known to be in the VBS phase for a certain range of its parameters, and it has been extensively used for numerical studies of the VBS phase and quantum critical phenomena in quantum magnets [42–45] (see [46] for a review). Indeed as Shao, Sandvik, Ünsal and TS demonstrated in [40] that while the usual VBS typically has exponential correlation functions, in the presence of the domain wall, the Z_2 VBS shows algebraic correlations along the wall and is in perfect agreement with the 1D spin chain, which is well known to host spin $1/2$ excitations (spinons) [47–49]. This is in direct contrast to the excitations of the bulk VBS which are spin 1 triplets.

This realization not only demonstrated a novel phenomena in quantum magnets, which may be directly observable in experiments and perhaps useful for the field of spintronics, but also made a direct connection to the non-abelian gauge field theory. One obvious question is how much can we learn from QCD about quantum magnets and vice versa. While the version of QCD realized in nature, as already emphasized, does not have degenerate vacua, it may still have non-degenerate k-vacua. In fact it is tempting to think that a part of the string tension is due to the excitation of the k-vacua. This would imply that under the deformation of the theory which render the vacua degenerate, the string tension should drop. Further, it is possible that the phenomena of deconfinement on the domain walls may be connected with the appearance of the edge modes in topological insulators. Should this analogy be correct it may yield a unique insight into the vacuum of QCD. Finally, similar numerical studies of higher dimensional VBS probably has a richer structure, and may possibly host a spin liquid which has been of interest in the physics of high T_c superconductivity. Such systems may further realize confining strings as tubes of domain walls (see Fig. 4 right), at least in a limit of parameters where the string thickness is comparable to the lattice size. Whether this scenario is realized in the continuum description, or whether it bears any relation to non-abelian gauge theories is at the moment unclear.

5 D. Kharzeev: Chiral matter in QCD and condensed matter physics

The presence of nearly massless chiral fermions in the action of Quantum Chromodynamics is crucial for understanding the key properties of the theory. The chiral symmetry and its spontaneous breaking, as well as the properties of confinement in real world, result from the dynamics of strongly coupled light quarks. In particular, one may expect that the chiral anomaly assumes an important role by connecting the behavior of the theory to the global topology of gauge fields – for example, it has been argued recently that $\mathrm{U_A}(1)$ anomaly may provide a mechanism for confinement [50].

Theoretical tools for understanding this dynamics in QCD are mostly limited to the chiral perturbation theory (in the spontaneously broken phase populated by Goldstone bosons), and lattice QCD

in Euclidean space-time. It is thus desirable to have an experimental access to controllable systems possessing chiral fermions.

In high energy nuclear physics, such an access is provided by relativistic heavy ion collisions creating hot and dense QCD matter. Moreover, it appears that the colliding ions create a very intense magnetic field [51], and so at least at early moments the dynamics of chiral quarks is affected both by strong and electromagnetic interactions. In this case, one can test a specific consequence of chiral anomaly for the transport of electric charge – the chiral magnetic effect (CME) [52], see [53, 54] for reviews and additional references. Evidence for the CME and related phenomena has been reported by STAR Collaboration at RHIC [55–57] and ALICE Collaboration at the LHC [58]. Reaching an unambiguous conclusion on the existence of CME in heavy ion collisions however is a challenging task, as there are substantial backgrounds. It should be possible to quantify them further using the data on proton-nucleus collisions recently presented by the CMS Collaboration at the LHC [59], and related analyses at RHIC. The dedicated 2018 isobar run at RHIC (using the nuclei with the same mass number but different electric charge) will allow to clearly distinguished between the background effects driven by nuclear geometry and the effects of magnetic field [60].

In the meantime, it is desirable to study the real-time dynamics of quantum chiral matter in other settings that may allow better control. It has been known for some time that in condensed matter systems the symmetries of the crystalline lattice sometimes allow the emergent chiral quasi-particles. A remarkable example of such a system is graphene [61], where the left-right symmetry of A and B sublattices of the hexagonal lattice translates into the emergence of massless chiral fermions in the low-energy spectrum. The role of the effective coupling constant in graphene is played by $\bar{\alpha} = e^2/\hbar v_F$, where the speed of light c is substituted by the Fermi velocity of quasiparticles near the Dirac point. Experimentally, $v_F \simeq c/300$, so $\bar{\alpha} \simeq 2$ and we deal with strongly coupled fermions – in fact, the coupling is as strong as the QCD coupling near the deconfinement phase transition! This suggests that the transport in graphene may resemble that of viscous fluids (such as the quark-gluon plasma) [62]; in particular, an external electric field creates vortices that can drive electric current against an applied field [63]– this opens the possibility to measure the viscosity of the strongly coupled fluid in experiment. It may also be possible to study in graphene the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry [64] – in the language of condensed matter physics, the chiral condensate corresponds to the formation of the excitonic condensate. While this does not usually happen, the spontaneous symmetry breaking can be induced by applying an external magnetic field in the plane of graphene [64].

Graphene is a two-dimensional (or $2+1$ D, in the nomenclature of relativistic field theory) system, and so does not allow to study the effects of chiral anomaly – it is well known that quantum anomalies exist only in even number of space-time dimensions. However, the recent discovery of 3D chiral materials – Dirac and Weyl semimetals – opens exciting possibilities for the study of anomaly-induced transport in tabletop experiments. In particular, the chiral magnetic effect has been decisively observed in a Dirac semimetal $ZrTe_5$ [65]. The recent surge of experimental results on the CME and related phenomena in Dirac and Weyl semimetals points to the possibility to uncover the role of quantum effects in chiral matter, with important implications both for condensed matter physics and QCD.

6 A. Molochkov: Gauge symmetries and structure of proteins

One of the most unusual and interesting applications of the effective gauge field theory is the protein structure study.

Currently, the most ambitious computational approaches to modeling the structure of proteins based on classical molecular dynamics allow us to describe the processes of protein folding in the case of short and fast folding protein only [66]. For a realistic description of the tertiary and quaternary structures on large spatial and temporal scales is needed computing power by 5-6 orders of magnitude greater than is technically achievable now [67].

One of the solutions of the problem can be obtained with the help of coarse grain modeling within effective field theory, which allows a natural way to introduce the collective degree of freedom and nonlinear topological structures based on fundamental principles of gauge symmetry. The corresponding field theory model is based on local symmetry of proteins that will dynamical define tertiary structure of proteins.

Protein local symmetry is defined by amino-acids and protein backbone bond structure. The C_α backbone bonds are almost freely rotating, which leads to a $U(1)$ local symmetry. On the other hand hydrophobic and hydrophilic forces lead to the rotation symmetry breaking due to amino acid alignment inside (hydrophobic) or outside (hydrophilic) of the secondary or tertiary regular structure. Another phenomenologically known protein symmetry is chiral symmetry, which is broken due to chirality of amino acids. It leads to chirality of ground state structures like α-helices.

A field theory model can be formulated within an approach that uses the description of the local geometry of proteins based on the formalism of discrete coordinates of Frenet [68]. Within this formalism proteins are considered as one-dimensional discrete units, which determine the free energy functional, defined solely by the angles of curvature and torsion. The Frenet Frame rotation can be presented by the following transformation:

$$\frac{d}{ds}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{e}_1\\ \mathbf{e}_2\\ \mathbf{t}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0 & (\tau+\eta_s) & -\kappa\cos(\eta)\\ (\tau+\eta_s) & 0 & \kappa\sin(\eta)\\ \kappa\cos(\eta) & -\kappa\sin(\eta) & 0\end{pmatrix}\times\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{e}_1\\ \mathbf{e}_2\\ \mathbf{t}\end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

Under rotation of the local coordinate system the doublet of dynamic variables is transformed just as the two-dimensional Abelian Higgs multiplet. This transformation can be rewritten as a $U(1)$ gauge transformation of the scalar field:

$$\kappa \sim \phi \rightarrow \kappa e^{-i\eta} \equiv \phi e^{-i\eta} \tag{5}$$

$$\tau \sim A_i \rightarrow \tau + \eta_s \equiv A_i + \eta_s \tag{6}$$

Here the bending ($\kappa \sim \phi$) and torsion ($\tau \sim A_i$) are introduced as scalar and gauge filed correspondingly. Thus, the Abelian Higgs Model Hamiltonian takes the form:

$$H = \int_0^L ds\,\left(|(\partial_s + ie\tau)\kappa|^2 + \lambda(|\kappa|^2 - m^2)^2 + a\tau\right) \tag{7}$$

term $a\tau$ is the Chern-Simons term ensuring chirality breaking - domination of the right hand alpha-helices. As the results we obtain ground states of the protein backbone as the theory vacua with different topological sectors: α-helices with broken chiral symmetry and negative parity ($\kappa \simeq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\tau \simeq 1$), β-strands with restored chiral symmetry and positive parity ($\kappa \simeq 1$, $\tau \simeq \pi$).

Spatial transitions between different ground states are defined by the exact solutions of the real part of the Hamiltonian (7) are nonlinear structure in the protein backbone bending like kinks [69]:

$$\kappa(s) = m\tanh\left(m\sqrt{\lambda}(s - s_0)\right) \tag{8}$$

with energy:

$$E = \int ds \left(\kappa_s^2 + \lambda(\kappa^2 - m^2)^2 - a\tau - b\kappa^2\tau + \frac{c}{2}\tau^2 + \frac{d}{2}\kappa^2\tau^2 \right). \quad (9)$$

Within this approach the structure of the protein backbone is parametrised by superpositions of the one-dimensional solitons (kinks).

It allow us to use full power of the field theory approach to study not only protein structure in terms of the collective degrees of freedom, but also dynamics at different thermodynamical conditions and in external fields. For example, Glauber heating and cooling analysis within the presented model shows that the myoglobin unfolding to the molten globule and folding back occurs due to unfolding and folding of the F-helix, which is bound with the heme-group.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported in parts by the US Department of Energy grant DE-FG02-03ER41260 (TS), the Federal Target Program for Research and Development in Priority Areas of Development of the Russian Scientific and Technological Complex for 2014-2020, Contract 14.584.21.0017 (AM), and the US Department of Energy under Contracts No. DE-FG- 88ER40388 and DE-AC02-98CH10886 (DK).

References

[1] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, W. Zwerger, "Many-Body Physics with Ultracold Gases" Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 885 (2008) [arXiv:0704.3011].

[2] I. Bloch, "Ultracold quantum gases in optical lattices," Nature Physics 1, 23 (2005).

[3] M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, V. Ahufinger, B. Damski, A. S. De, U. Sen, "Ultracold atomic gases in optical lattices: mimicking condensed matter physics and beyond," Adv. in Physics **56** (2007).

[4] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, "Topological insulators and superconductors," Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1057 (2011).

[5] U. J. Wiese, "Towards Quantum Simulating QCD," Nucl. Phys. A **931**, 246 (2014) [arXiv:1409.7414 [hep-th]].

[6] P. Danielewicz and M. Gyulassy, "Dissipative Phenomena in Quark Gluon Plasmas," Phys. Rev. D **31**, 53 (1985).

[7] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, "Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics," Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 111601 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0405231].

[8] T. Schäfer and D. Teaney, "Nearly Perfect Fluidity: From Cold Atomic Gases to Hot Quark Gluon Plasmas," Rept. Prog. Phys. **72**, 126001 (2009) [arXiv:0904.3107 [hep-ph]].

[9] K. M. O'Hara, S. L. Hemmer, M. E. Gehm, S. R. Granade, J. E. Thomas, "Observation of a Strongly-Interacting Degenerate Fermi Gas of Atoms," Science **298**, 2179 (2002) [cond-mat/0212463].

[10] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], "Measurement of the azimuthal anisotropy for charged particle production in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV lead-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector," Phys. Rev. C **86**, 014907 (2012) [arXiv:1203.3087 [hep-ex]].

[11] C. Gale, S. Jeon, B. Schenke, P. Tribedy and R. Venugopalan, "Event-by-event anisotropic flow in heavy-ion collisions from combined Yang-Mills and viscous fluid dynamics," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 012302 (2013) [arXiv:1209.6330 [nucl-th]].

[12] C. Cao, E. Elliott, J. Joseph, H. Wu, J. Petricka, T. Schäfer and J. E. Thomas, "Universal Quantum Viscosity in a Unitary Fermi Gas," Science 331, 58 (2011) [arXiv:1007.2625 [cond-mat.quant-gas]].

[13] M. Bluhm and T. Schäfer, "Model-independent determination of the shear viscosity of a trapped unitary Fermi gas: Application to high temperature data," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 11, 115301 (2016) [arXiv:1512.00862 [cond-mat.quant-gas]].

[14] M. Bluhm and T. Schäfer, "Dissipative fluid dynamics for the dilute Fermi gas at unitarity: Anisotropic fluid dynamics," Phys. Rev. A **92**, no. 4, 043602 (2015) [arXiv:1505.00846 [cond-mat.quant-gas]].

[15] W. Florkowski and R. Ryblewski, "Highly-anisotropic and strongly-dissipative hydrodynamics for early stages of relativistic heavy-ion collisions," Phys. Rev. C **83**, 034907 (2011) [arXiv:1007.0130 [nucl-th]].

[16] M. Martinez and M. Strickland, "Dissipative Dynamics of Highly Anisotropic Systems," Nucl. Phys. A **848**, 183 (2010) [arXiv:1007.0889 [nucl-th]].

[17] J. A. Joseph, E. Elliott, J. E. Thomas, "Shear viscosity of a universal Fermi gas near the superfluid phase transition," Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 020401 (2015) [arXiv:1410.4835 [cond-mat.quant-gas]].

[18] A. Adams, L. D. Carr, T. Schäfer, P. Steinberg and J. E. Thomas, "Strongly Correlated Quantum Fluids: Ultracold Quantum Gases, Quantum Chromodynamic Plasmas, and Holographic Duality," New J. Phys. **14**, 115009 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5180 [hep-th]].

[19] M. G. Lingham, K. Fenech, T. Peppler, S. Hoinka, P. Dyke, P. Hannaford and C. J. Vale, "Bragg spectroscopy of strongly interacting Fermi gases," Jour. of Mod. Optics **63** 1783 (2016).

[20] G. Wlazlowski, P. Magierski, A. Bulgac and K. J. Roche, "The temperature evolution of the shear viscosity in a unitary Fermi gas," Phys. Rev. A **88**, 013639 (2013) [arXiv:1304.2283 [cond-mat.quant-gas]].

[21] J. Brewer and P. Romatschke, "Nonhydrodynamic Transport in Trapped Unitary Fermi Gases," Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 19, 190404 (2015) [arXiv:1508.01199 [hep-th]].

[22] R.E. Prange and S.M. Girvin, *The Quantum Hall Effect*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1990).

[23] For a review see: X.-G. Wen, *Advances in Physics* **44**, 405 (1995).

[24] X. Chen, Z. X. Liu, X. G. Wen, *Phys. Rev.* **B 23** (2011) 235141; L. Fu, C. L. Kane, E. J. Mele, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007) 106803.

[25] M. C. Diamantini, P. Sodano and C. A. Trugenberger, Eur.Phys.J. **B53** (2006) 19; *New. J. Phys.* **14** (2012) 063013. M.C. Diamantini and C.A. Trugenberger, *Phys. Rev.* **B 84** (2011) 094520; *Nucl. Phys.* **B891** (2015) 401.

[26] A. M. Polyakov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B486** (1997) 23. F. Quevedo and C. A. Trugenberger, *Nucl. Phys.* **B501** (1997) 143.

[27] R. B. Laughlin, *Science* **303** (2004) 1475.

[28] T. Senthil et al, *Science* **303** (2004) 1490.

[29] A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Ann. Phys.* **336** (2013) 462.

[30] H. B. Thacker, *Phys. Rev.* **D 89** (2014) 125011.

[31] M. Levin and T. Senthil, "Deconfined quantum criticality and Néel order via dimer disorder,", Phys. Rev. **B70** (Dec., 2004) 220403, [cond-mat/0405702].

[32] T. Senthil, A. Vishwanath, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, and M. Fisher, *Deconfined quantum critical points.*, Science **303** (2004), no. 5663 1490–1494.

[33] T. Senthil, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, A. Vishwanath, and M. P. A. Fisher, *Quantum criticality beyond the landau-ginzburg-wilson paradigm*, Phys. Rev. B **70** (2004), no. 14.

[34] E. Witten, "Large N Chiral Dynamics,", Annals Phys. **128** (1980) 363.

[35] M. Unsal, "Magnetic bion condensation: A New mechanism of confinement and mass gap in four dimensions,", Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 065001, [arXiv:0709.3269].

[36] M. Unsal and L. G. Yaffe, "Center-stabilized Yang-Mills theory: Confinement and large N volume independence,", Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 065035, [arXiv:0803.0344].

[37] M. Shifman and M. Unsal, "QCD-like Theories on R(3) x S(1): A Smooth Journey from Small to Large r(S(1)) with Double-Trace Deformations,", *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 065004, [arXiv:0802.1232].

[38] M. M. Anber, E. Poppitz, and T. Sulejmanpasic, "Strings from domain walls in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and adjoint QCD,", Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015), no. 2 021701, [arXiv:1501.06773].

[39] D. Banerjee, M. Bögli, C. P. Hofmann, F. J. Jiang, P. Widmer, and U. J. Wiese, "Finite-Volume Energy Spectrum, Fractionalized Strings, and Low-Energy Effective Field Theory for the Quantum Dimer Model on the Square Lattice,", Phys. Rev. **B94** (2016), no. 11 115120, [arXiv:1511.00881].

[40] T. Sulejmanpasic, H. Shao, A. W. Sandvik, and M. Unsal, "Confinement in the bulk, deconfinement on the wall: infrared equivalence between compactified QCD and quantum magnets,", arXiv:1608.09011.

[41] E. Witten, "Branes and the dynamics of QCD,", Nucl. Phys. **B507** (1997) 658–690, [hep-th/9706109].

[42] A. W. Sandvik, "Evidence for deconfined quantum criticality in a two-dimensional Heisenberg model with four-spin interactions,", Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007), no. 22 227202, [cond-mat/0611343].

[43] Y. Tang and A. W. Sandvik, "Confinement and Deconfinement of Spinons in Two Dimensions,", Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013), no. 21 217213, [arXiv:1301.3207].

[44] H. Shao, W. Guo, and A. W. Sandvik, "Emergent topological excitations in a two-dimensional quantum spin system," Physical Review B **91** (2015), no. 9 094426.

[45] H. Shao, W. Guo, and A. W. Sandvik, "Quantum criticality with two length scales," Science **352** (2016), no. 6282 213–216.

[46] R. K. Kaul, R. G. Melko, and A. W. Sandvik, "Bridging lattice-scale physics and continuum field theory with quantum monte carlo simulations," Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **4** (2013), no. 1 179–215.

[47] B. S. Shastry and B. Sutherland, "Exact ground state of a quantum mechanical antiferromagnet," Physica B+ C **108** (1981), no. 1-3 1069–1070.

[48] L. Faddeev and L. Takhtajan, "What is the spin of a spin wave," Physics Letters A **85** (1981), no. 6-7 375–377.

[49] D. Tennant, T. Perring, R. Cowley, and S. Nagler, "Unbound spinons in the s= 1/2 antiferromagnetic chain $KCuF_3$," Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993), no. 25 4003.

[50] D. E. Kharzeev and E. M. Levin, "Color Confinement and Screening in the θ Vacuum of QCD," Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, no. 24, 242001 (2015) [arXiv:1501.04622 [hep-ph]].

[51] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran and H. J. Warringa, "The Effects of topological charge change in heavy ion collisions: 'Event by event P and CP violation'," Nucl. Phys. A **803**, 227 (2008) [arXiv:0711.0950 [hep-ph]].

[52] K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev and H. J. Warringa, "The Chiral Magnetic Effect," Phys. Rev. D **78**, 074033 (2008) [arXiv:0808.3382 [hep-ph]].

[53] D. E. Kharzeev, "The Chiral Magnetic Effect and Anomaly-Induced Transport," Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **75**, 133 (2014) [arXiv:1312.3348 [hep-ph]].

[54] D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S. A. Voloshin and G. Wang, "Chiral magnetic and vortical effects in high-energy nuclear collisions? A status report," Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **88**, 1 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2016.01.001 [arXiv:1511.04050 [hep-ph]].

[55] B. I. Abelev *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], "Azimuthal Charged-Particle Correlations and Possible Local Strong Parity Violation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 251601 (2009) [arXiv:0909.1739 [nucl-ex]].

[56] B. I. Abelev *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], "Observation of charge-dependent azimuthal correlations and possible local strong parity violation in heavy ion collisions," Phys. Rev. C **81**, 054908 (2010) [arXiv:0909.1717 [nucl-ex]].

[57] L. Adamczyk *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], "Observation of charge asymmetry dependence of pion elliptic flow and the possible chiral magnetic wave in heavy-ion collisions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, no. 25, 252302 (2015) [arXiv:1504.02175 [nucl-ex]].

[58] J. Adam *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], "Charge-dependent flow and the search for the chiral magnetic wave in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 2.76$ TeV," Phys. Rev. C **93**, no. 4, 044903 (2016) [arXiv:1512.05739 [nucl-ex]].

[59] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], "Observation of charge-dependent azimuthal correlations in pPb collisions and its implication for the search for the chiral magnetic effect," [arXiv:1610.00263 [nucl-ex]].

[60] V. Skokov, P. Sorensen, V. Koch, S. Schlichting, J. Thomas, S. Voloshin, G. Wang and H. U. Yee, "Chiral Magnetic Effect Task Force Report," arXiv:1608.00982 [nucl-th].

[61] A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, Nature Materials **6**, 183 (2007).

[62] M. Muller, J. Schmalian, L. Fritz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 025301 (2009).

[63] L. Levitov and G. Falkovich, Nature Physics **12**, 672 (2016).

[64] I. L. Aleiner, D. E. Kharzeev and A. M. Tsvelik, "Spontaneous symmetry breakings in graphene subjected to in-plane magnetic field," Phys. Rev. B **76**, 195415 (2007) [arXiv:0708.0394 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].

[65] Q. Li *et al.*, "Observation of the chiral magnetic effect in ZrTe5," Nature Phys. **12**, 550 (2016) [arXiv:1412.6543 [cond-mat.str-el]].

[66] P. L. Freddolino, C. B. Harrison, Y. Liu, K. Schulten, "Challenges in protein-folding simulations," Nature Physics 6 751 (2010).

[67] K. Lindor Larsen, S. Piana, R. Dror, D. Shaw, "How fast-folding proteins fold," Science 334 (2011) 517.

[68] M. Chernodub, S. Hu, A. J. Niemi, "Phys. Rev. E **82** 011916 (2010)," Phys. Rev. E **82** 011916 (2010).

[69] S. Hu, M. Lundgren, and A. J. Niemi, "Discrete Frenet frame, inflection point solitons, and curve visualization with applications to folded proteins," Phys. Rev. E **83**, 061908 (2011).